



Article ID 1007-1202(2023)05-0379-06

DOI <https://doi.org/10.1051/wujns/2023285379>

# Nonlinear $A^*B + B^*A$ Type Derivations on $*$ -Algebras

□ ZHANG Fangjuan<sup>1</sup>, ZHU Xinhong<sup>2</sup>

1. School of Science, Xi'an University of Posts and Telecommunications, Xi'an 710121, Shaanxi, China;

2. Xi'an Modern Control Technology Institute, Xi'an 710065, Shaanxi, China

© Wuhan University 2023

**Abstract:** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a unital  $*$ -algebra with the unit  $I$  and a nontrivial projection  $P \in \mathcal{A}$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfies  $XA P = 0$  implies  $X = 0$  and  $XA(I - P) = 0$  implies  $X = 0$ . In this paper, we prove that  $\phi$  is a nonlinear  $A^*B + B^*A$  type derivation on  $\mathcal{A}$  if and only if  $\phi$  is an additive  $*$ -derivation. This is then applied to prime  $*$ -algebra, von Neumann algebras with no central summands of type  $I_1$ , factor von Neumann algebras and standard operator algebras.

**Key words:**  $A^*B + B^*A$  type derivations;  $*$ -derivation; von Neumann algebras

**CLC number:** O177.1

## 0 Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $*$ -algebra over the complex field  $\mathbb{C}$ . For  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ , we write  $[A, B]_0 = A^*B - B^*A$ ,  $A \bullet B = AB + BA^*$  and  $A \circ B = A^*B + B^*A$  for the bi-skew Lie product,  $*$ -Jordan product and  $A^*B + B^*A$  product, respectively. These products have recently attracted the attention of many authors (see Refs. [1-14]).

Recall that a map  $\phi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is said to be an additive derivation if  $\phi(A + B) = \phi(A) + \phi(B)$  and  $\phi(AB) = \phi(A)B + A\phi(B)$  for  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ . Furthermore,  $\phi$  is an additive  $*$ -derivation if it is an additive derivation and  $\phi(A^*) = \phi(A)^*$  for  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . A map  $\phi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  (without the linearity assumption) is called a nonlinear  $A^*B + B^*A$  derivation if  $\phi(A \circ B) = \phi(A) \circ B + A \circ \phi(B)$  for  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ , where  $A \circ B = A^*B + B^*A$ . Darvish *et al*<sup>[1]</sup> proved that every nonlinear  $A^*B + B^*A$  triple derivation on prime  $*$ -algebras is an additive  $*$ -derivation. A map  $\phi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  (without the linearity assumption) is called a nonlinear  $*$ -Jordan derivation if  $\phi(A \bullet B) = \phi(A) \bullet B + A \bullet \phi(B)$  for  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ . The authors of Ref. [2] introduced the concept of  $*$ -Jordan-type derivation. Suppose that  $n \geq 2$  is a fixed positive integer. Accordingly, a nonlinear  $*$ -Jordan-type derivation is a map  $\phi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  satisfying the condition  $\phi(A_1 \bullet A_2 \bullet \dots \bullet A_n) = \sum_{k=1}^n A_1 \bullet \dots \bullet A_{k-1} \bullet \phi(A_k) \bullet A_{k+1} \bullet \dots \bullet A_n$  for all  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{A}$ , where  $A_1 \bullet A_2 \bullet \dots \bullet A_n = (\dots((A_1 \bullet A_2) \bullet A_3) \bullet \dots \bullet A_n)$ ,  $A_i \bullet A_j = A_i A_j + A_j A_i^*$ ,  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Under some mild condition on a  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , they showed that  $\phi$  is a nonlinear  $*$ -Jordan-type derivation on  $\mathcal{A}$  if and only if  $\phi$  is an additive  $*$ -derivation.

Motivated by the above results, we introduce the  $A^*B + B^*A$  type derivations. Suppose that  $n \geq 2$  is a fixed positive

**Received date:** 2023-03-05

**Foundation item:** Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11601420) and the Natural Science Basic Research Plan in Shaanxi Province (2018JM1053)

**Biography:** ZHANG Fangjuan, female, Ph. D., Associate professor, research direction: operator algebras. E-mail: zhjf888@xupt.edu.cn; zhjf888@126.com

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

integer. A nonlinear  $A^*B+B^*A$  type derivation is a map  $\phi:\mathcal{A}\rightarrow\mathcal{A}$  satisfying the condition  $\phi(A_1\circ A_2\circ\cdots\circ A_n)=\sum_{k=1}^n A_1\circ\cdots\circ A_{k-1}\circ\phi(A_k)\circ A_{k+1}\circ\cdots\circ A_n$  for all  $A_1,A_2,\dots,A_n\in\mathcal{A}$ , where  $A_1\circ A_2\circ\cdots\circ A_n=(\cdots((A_1\circ A_2)\circ A_3)\circ\cdots\circ A_n)$ ,  $A_i\circ A_j=A_i^*A_j+A_j^*A_i$ ,  $i,j\in\mathbb{N}$ . In this paper, under some mild condition on a  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , we prove that  $\phi$  is a nonlinear  $A^*B+B^*A$  type derivation on  $\mathcal{A}$  if and only if  $\phi$  is an additive  $*$ -derivation.

### 1 The Main Result and Its Proof

**Theorem 1** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a unital  $*$ -algebra with the unit  $I$  and a nontrivial projection  $P\in\mathcal{A}$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfies (a)  $XAP=0$  implies  $X=0$  and (b)  $X\mathcal{A}(I-P)=0$  implies  $X=0$ .

If a map  $\phi:\mathcal{A}\rightarrow\mathcal{A}$  satisfies  $\phi(A_1\circ A_2\circ\cdots\circ A_n)=\sum_{k=1}^n A_1\circ\cdots\circ A_{k-1}\circ\phi(A_k)\circ A_{k+1}\circ\cdots\circ A_n$  for all  $A_1,A_2\in\mathcal{A}$  and  $A_3=A_4=\cdots=A_n=\frac{I}{2}$ , then  $\phi$  is an additive  $*$ -derivation.

Let  $P_1=P$  and  $P_2=I-P_1$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}_{ij}=P_i\mathcal{A}P_j$ ,  $i,j=1,2$ ; then  $\mathcal{A}=\sum_{i,j=1}^2\mathcal{A}_{ij}$ . We can write every  $A\in\mathcal{A}$  as  $A=\sum_{i,j=1}^2A_{ij}$ , where  $A_{ij}$  denotes an arbitrary element of  $\mathcal{A}_{ij}$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}=\{A\in\mathcal{A}:A^*=A\}$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_{12}=\{P_1MP_2+P_2MP_1:M\in\mathcal{M}\}$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_{ii}=P_i\mathcal{M}P_i$ ,  $i=1,2$ . Then for all  $M\in\mathcal{M}$ ,  $M=M_{11}+M_{12}+M_{22}$ , where  $M_{12}\in\mathcal{M}_{12}$ ,  $M_{ii}\in\mathcal{M}_{ii}$ ,  $i=1,2$ .

**Proof** The proof is completed by the following several claims.

**Claim 1**  $\phi(0)=0$ .

$$\phi(0)=\phi\left(0\circ 0\circ\frac{I}{2}\circ\cdots\circ\frac{I}{2}\right)=0$$

**Claim 2**  $\phi\left(\frac{I}{2}\right)=0, \phi\left(\frac{i}{2}I\right)=0, \phi\left(-\frac{i}{2}I\right)=0$ .

Using  $\frac{I}{2}=\frac{I}{2}\circ\frac{I}{2}\circ\cdots\circ\frac{I}{2}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \phi\left(\frac{I}{2}\right) &= \phi\left(\frac{I}{2}\circ\frac{I}{2}\circ\cdots\circ\frac{I}{2}\right) = \phi\left(\frac{I}{2}\right)\circ\frac{I}{2}\circ\cdots\circ\frac{I}{2} + \frac{I}{2}\circ\phi\left(\frac{I}{2}\right)\circ\cdots\circ\frac{I}{2} + \cdots + \frac{I}{2}\circ\frac{I}{2}\circ\cdots\circ\phi\left(\frac{I}{2}\right) \\ &= \frac{n}{2}\left(\phi\left(\frac{I}{2}\right) + \phi\left(\frac{I}{2}\right)^*\right) \end{aligned}$$

which implies  $\phi\left(\frac{I}{2}\right)^* = \phi\left(\frac{I}{2}\right)$ , and then  $(n-1)\phi\left(\frac{I}{2}\right)=0$ . Since  $n\geq 2$ , we obtain

$$\phi\left(\frac{I}{2}\right)=0 \tag{1}$$

From (1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \phi\left(\frac{I}{2}\right) = \phi\left(\frac{i}{2}I\circ\frac{i}{2}I\circ\frac{i}{2}I\circ\cdots\circ\frac{i}{2}I\right) = \phi\left(\frac{i}{2}I\right)\circ\frac{i}{2}I\circ\frac{i}{2}I\circ\cdots\circ\frac{i}{2}I + \frac{i}{2}I\circ\phi\left(\frac{i}{2}I\right)\circ\frac{i}{2}I\circ\cdots\circ\frac{i}{2}I \\ &= i\left(\phi\left(\frac{i}{2}I\right)^* - \phi\left(\frac{i}{2}I\right)\right) \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\phi\left(\frac{i}{2}I\right)^* = \phi\left(\frac{i}{2}I\right) \tag{2}$$

Using (1) and (2), we have

$$0 = \phi\left(\frac{i}{2}I\circ\frac{I}{2}\circ\cdots\circ\frac{I}{2}\right) = \phi\left(\frac{i}{2}I\right)\circ\frac{I}{2}\circ\cdots\circ\frac{I}{2} = \phi\left(\frac{i}{2}I\right)$$

In the same manner, we obtain  $\phi\left(-\frac{i}{2}I\right)=0$ .

**Claim 3** For every  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , we have  $\phi(iA) = i\phi(A)$ .

For all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , using  $iA \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2} = A \circ -\frac{i}{2}I \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2}$  and Claim 2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}(\phi(iA)^* + \phi(iA)) &= \phi(iA) \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2} = \phi\left(iA \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2}\right) = \phi\left(A \circ -\frac{i}{2}I \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2}\right) \\ &= \phi(A) \circ -\frac{i}{2}I \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2} = \frac{i}{2}(\phi(A) - \phi(A)^*) \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\phi(iA)^* + \phi(iA) = i\phi(A) - i\phi(A)^* \tag{3}$$

Using  $iA \circ \frac{i}{2} \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2} = A \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2}$  and Claim 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{i}{2}(\phi(iA)^* - \phi(iA)) &= \phi(iA) \circ \frac{i}{2} \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2} = \phi\left(iA \circ \frac{i}{2} \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2}\right) = \phi\left(A \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2}\right) \\ &= \phi(A) \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2} = \frac{1}{2}(\phi(A) + \phi(A)^*) \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$-\phi(iA)^* + \phi(iA) = i\phi(A) + i\phi(A)^* \tag{4}$$

From (3) and (4), we obtain  $\phi(iA) = i\phi(A)$ .

**Claim 4** For every  $A \in \mathcal{M}$ , we have  $\phi(A)^* = \phi(A)$ .

For all  $A \in \mathcal{M}$ , we have

$$\phi(A) = \phi\left(A \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2}\right) = \phi(A) \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2} = \frac{1}{2}(\phi(A) + \phi(A)^*)$$

which indicates  $\phi(A)^* = \phi(A)$ .

**Claim 5** For every  $M_{11} \in \mathcal{M}_{11}, M_{12} \in \mathcal{M}_{12}, M_{22} \in \mathcal{M}_{22}$ , we have (i)  $\phi(M_{11} + M_{12}) = \phi(M_{11}) + \phi(M_{12})$ ; (ii)  $\phi(M_{12} + M_{22}) = \phi(M_{12}) + \phi(M_{22})$ .

Setting  $T = \phi(M_{11} + M_{12}) - \phi(M_{11}) - \phi(M_{12})$ , let us prove that  $T = 0$ . Based on Claim 4, we obtain  $T^* = T$ . Since  $P_2 \circ M_{11} \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2} = 0$ , it follows from Claim 1 and Claim 2 that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(P_2) \circ (M_{11} + M_{22}) \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2} + P_2 \circ \phi(M_{11} + M_{22}) \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2} &= \phi(P_2 \circ (M_{11} + M_{22}) \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2}) \\ &= \phi(P_2 \circ M_{11} \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2}) + \phi(P_2 \circ M_{22} \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2}) \\ &= \phi(P_2) \circ (M_{11} + M_{22}) \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2} + P_2 \circ (\phi(M_{11}) + \phi(M_{22})) \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2} \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,  $P_2 \circ T \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2} = 0$ . This together with  $T^* = T$  shows that  $P_1TP_2 = P_2TP_1 = P_2TP_2 = 0$ . Using  $(P_1 - P_2) \circ M_{12} \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2} = 0$ , Claim 1 and Claim 2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(P_1 - P_2) \circ (M_{11} + M_{12}) \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2} + (P_1 - P_2) \circ \phi(M_{11} + M_{12}) \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2} \\ &= \phi((P_1 - P_2) \circ (M_{11} + M_{12}) \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2}) \\ &= \phi((P_1 - P_2) \circ M_{11} \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2}) + \phi((P_1 - P_2) \circ M_{12} \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2}) \\ &= \phi(P_1 - P_2) \circ (M_{11} + M_{12}) \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2} + (P_1 - P_2) \circ (\phi(M_{11}) + \phi(M_{12})) \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2} \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,  $(P_1 - P_2) \circ T \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2} = 0$ . This together with  $T^* = T$  shows that  $P_1TP_1 = 0$ . And then  $T = 0$ .

In the second case, we can similarly prove that the conclusion is valid.

**Claim 6** For every  $M_{11} \in \mathcal{M}_{11}, M_{12} \in \mathcal{M}_{12}, M_{22} \in \mathcal{M}_{22}$ , we have  $\phi(M_{11} + M_{12} + M_{22}) = \phi(M_{11}) + \phi(M_{12}) + \phi(M_{22})$ .

Setting  $T = \phi(M_{11} + M_{12} + M_{22}) - \phi(M_{11}) - \phi(M_{12}) - \phi(M_{22})$ , since  $P_1 \circ M_{22} \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2} = 0$ , applying Claim 1, Claim 2 and Claim 5(i), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi(P_1) \circ (M_{11} + M_{12} + M_{22}) \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2} + P_1 \circ \phi(M_{11} + M_{12} + M_{22}) \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2} \\ &= \phi(P_1 \circ (M_{11} + M_{12} + M_{22}) \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2}) \\ &= \phi(P_1 \circ (M_{11} + M_{12}) \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2}) + \phi(P_1 \circ M_{22} \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2}) \\ &= \phi(P_1) \circ (M_{11} + M_{12} + M_{22}) \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2} + P_1 \circ (\phi(M_{11}) + \phi(M_{12}) + \phi(M_{22})) \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2} \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,  $P_1 \circ T \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2} = 0$ . This together with  $T^* = T$  shows that  $P_1TP_1 = P_1TP_2 = P_2TP_1 = 0$ . In the same manner, by applying the above proof for  $P_2$  instead of  $P_1$  and Claim 5(ii) instead of Claim 5(i), we have  $P_2TP_2 = 0$ .

**Claim 7** For every  $M_{12}, B_{12} \in \mathcal{M}_{12}$ , we have  $\phi(M_{12} + B_{12}) = \phi(M_{12}) + \phi(B_{12})$ .

Let  $M_{12}, B_{12} \in \mathcal{M}_{12}$ , we obtain  $M_{12} = U_{12} + U_{12}^*, B_{12} = V_{12} + V_{12}^*$ , where  $U_{12}, V_{12} \in \mathcal{M}_{12}$ . Since  $M_{12}B_{12}^* + B_{12}M_{12}^* = U_{12}V_{12}^* + V_{12}U_{12}^* + U_{12}^*V_{12} + V_{12}^*U_{12}$ , we set  $U_{12}V_{12}^* + V_{12}U_{12}^* = M_{11} \in \mathcal{M}_{11}$ ,  $U_{12}^*V_{12} + V_{12}^*U_{12} = M_{22} \in \mathcal{M}_{22}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} & (P_1 + U_{12} + U_{12}^*) \circ (P_2 + V_{12} + V_{12}^*) \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2} \\ &= (U_{12} + U_{12}^*) + (V_{12} + V_{12}^*) + (U_{12}V_{12}^* + V_{12}U_{12}^* + U_{12}^*V_{12} + V_{12}^*U_{12}) \\ &= M_{12} + B_{12} + M_{12}B_{12}^* + B_{12}M_{12}^* = M_{12} + B_{12} + M_{11} + M_{22} \end{aligned}$$

Using  $U_{12} + U_{12}^*, V_{12} + V_{12}^* \in \mathcal{M}_{12}$  and Claim 6, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi(M_{12} + B_{12}) + \phi(M_{11}) + \phi(M_{22}) = \phi(M_{12} + B_{12} + M_{12}B_{12}^* + B_{12}M_{12}^*) \\ &= \phi((P_1 + U_{12} + U_{12}^*) \circ (P_2 + V_{12} + V_{12}^*) \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2}) = (\phi(P_1) + \phi(U_{12} + U_{12}^*)) \circ (P_2 + V_{12} + V_{12}^*) \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2} \\ &+ (P_1 + U_{12} + U_{12}^*) \circ (\phi(P_2) + \phi(V_{12} + V_{12}^*)) \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2} + \dots + (P_1 + U_{12} + U_{12}^*) \circ (P_2 + V_{12} + V_{12}^*) \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \phi(\frac{I}{2}) \\ &= \phi(P_1 \circ P_2 \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2}) + \phi(P_1 \circ (V_{12} + V_{12}^*) \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2}) \\ &+ \phi((U_{12} + U_{12}^*) \circ P_2 \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2}) + \phi((U_{12} + U_{12}^*) \circ (V_{12} + V_{12}^*) \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2}) \\ &= \phi(M_{12}) + \phi(B_{12}) + \phi(M_{12}B_{12}^* + B_{12}M_{12}^*) = \phi(M_{12}) + \phi(B_{12}) + \phi(M_{11}) + \phi(M_{22}) \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,  $\phi(M_{12} + B_{12}) = \phi(M_{12}) + \phi(B_{12})$ .

**Claim 8** For each  $C_{ii}, D_{ii} \in \mathcal{M}_{ii}, i = 1, 2$ , we have (i)  $\phi(C_{11} + D_{11}) = \phi(C_{11}) + \phi(D_{11})$ ; (ii)  $\phi(C_{22} + D_{22}) = \phi(C_{22}) + \phi(D_{22})$ .

Setting  $T = \phi(C_{11} + D_{11}) - \phi(C_{11}) - \phi(D_{11})$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi(P_2) \circ (C_{11} + D_{11}) \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2} + P_2 \circ \phi(C_{11} + D_{11}) \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2} \\ &= \phi(P_2 \circ (C_{11} + D_{11}) \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2}) = \phi(P_2 \circ C_{11} \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2}) + \phi(P_2 \circ D_{11} \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2}) \\ &= \phi(P_2) \circ (C_{11} + D_{11}) \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2} + P_2 \circ (\phi(C_{11}) + \phi(D_{11})) \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2} \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,  $P_2 \circ T \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2} = 0$ . This together with the fact  $T^* = T$  shows that  $P_1TP_2 = P_2TP_1 = P_2TP_2 = 0$ . For all  $A_{12} \in \mathcal{A}_{12}$ ,

take  $M = A_{12} + A_{12}^*$ . Then  $M \circ C_{11} \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2} \in \mathcal{M}_{12}, M \circ D_{11} \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2} \in \mathcal{M}_{12}$ . We get from Claim 7 that

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi(M) \circ (C_{11} + D_{11}) \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2} + M \circ \phi(C_{11} + D_{11}) \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2} \\ &= \phi(M \circ (C_{11} + D_{11}) \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2}) = \phi(M \circ C_{11} \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2}) + \phi(M \circ D_{11} \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2}) \\ &= \phi(M) \circ (C_{11} + D_{11}) \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2} + M \circ (\phi(C_{11}) + \phi(D_{11})) \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2} \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,  $M \circ T \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2} = 0$ . Then  $P_1TA_{12} + A_{12}^*TP_1 = 0$  for all  $A_{12} \in \mathcal{A}_{12}$ . Hence  $P_1TP_1AP_2 = 0$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . From (b), we obtain  $P_1TP_1 = 0$  and then  $T = 0$ .

In the second case, we can similarly prove that the conclusion is valid.

**Claim 9**  $\phi$  is additive on  $\mathcal{M}$ .

By Claims 6-8,  $\phi$  is additive on  $\mathcal{M}$ .

**Claim 10**  $\phi$  is additive on  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\phi(A^*) = \phi(A)^*$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ .

For all  $H, K \in \mathcal{M}$ , from Claim 2 we obtain

$$\phi(H) = \phi((H + iK) \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2}) = \phi(H + iK) \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2} = \frac{1}{2}(\phi(H + iK) + \phi(H + iK)^*) \tag{5}$$

On the other hand, from Claim 2, we have

$$\phi(K) = \phi((H + iK) \circ \frac{i}{2}I \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2}) = \phi(H + iK) \circ \frac{i}{2}I \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2} = -\frac{i}{2}(\phi(H + iK) - \phi(H + iK)^*)$$

i.e.,

$$i\phi(K) = \frac{1}{2}(\phi(H + iK) - \phi(H + iK)^*) \tag{6}$$

By adding (5) and (6), from Claim 3, we obtain

$$\phi(H + iK) = \phi(H) + i\phi(K) \tag{7}$$

For all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , we have  $A = A_1 + iA_2$  with  $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ . From (7), Claim 4 and Claim 9, we obtain

$$\phi(A)^* = \phi(A_1 + iA_2)^* = (\phi(A_1) + i\phi(A_2))^* = \phi(A_1) - i\phi(A_2) = \phi(A_1) + i\phi(-A_2) = \phi(A_1 - iA_2) = \phi(A^*)$$

For all  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ , we have  $A = A_1 + iA_2, B = B_1 + iB_2$  with  $A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ . From (7) and Claim 9, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(A + B) &= \phi((A_1 + B_1) + i(A_2 + B_2)) = \phi(A_1 + B_1) + i\phi(A_2 + B_2) \\ &= \phi(A_1) + \phi(B_1) + i(\phi(A_2) + \phi(B_2)) = (\phi(A_1) + i\phi(A_2)) + (\phi(B_1) + i\phi(B_2)) = \phi(A) + \phi(B) \end{aligned}$$

**Claim 11**  $\phi$  is an additive  $*$ -derivation on  $\mathcal{A}$ .

For all  $H, K \in \mathcal{M}$ , from Claim 2 and Claim 4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(HK + KH) &= \phi(H \circ K \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2}) \\ &= \phi(H) \circ K \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2} + H \circ \phi(K) \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2} = \phi(H)K + K\phi(H) + H\phi(K) + \phi(K)H \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, from (7), Claim 2 and Claim 4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} i\phi(HK - KH) &= \phi(i(HK - KH)) = \phi(H \circ iK \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2}) \\ &= \phi(H) \circ iK \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2} + H \circ \phi(iK) \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \dots \circ \frac{I}{2} = i(\phi(H)K - K\phi(H) + H\phi(K) - \phi(K)H) \end{aligned}$$

So we have  $\phi(HK) = \phi(H)K + H\phi(K)$ .

For all  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ , we have  $A = A_1 + iA_2, B = B_1 + iB_2$ , where  $A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ . From Claim 10 and (7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(AB) &= \phi(A_1B_1 + iA_1B_2 + iA_2B_1 - A_2B_2) = \phi(A_1B_1) + \phi(iA_1B_2) + \phi(iA_2B_1) - \phi(A_2B_2) \\ &= \phi(A_1)B_1 + A_1\phi(B_1) + i\phi(A_1)B_2 + iA_1\phi(B_2) + i\phi(A_2)B_1 + iA_2\phi(B_1) - \phi(A_2)B_2 - A_2\phi(B_2) \\ &= \phi(A_1)B_1 + A_1\phi(B_1) + \phi(A_1)iB_2 + A_1\phi(iB_2) + \phi(iA_2)B_1 + iA_2\phi(B_1) + \phi(iA_2)iB_2 + iA_2\phi(iB_2) \\ &= (\phi(A_1) + \phi(iA_2))(B_1 + iB_2) + (A_1 + iA_2)(\phi(B_1) + \phi(iB_2)) = \phi(A)B + A\phi(B) \end{aligned}$$

From this and Claim 10, we have proved that  $\phi$  is an additive  $*$ -derivation. This completes the proof.

## 2 Corollaries

Now we give some applications of Theorem 1 to operator algebras. We say that  $\mathcal{A}$  is prime when for  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ , if  $AAB = \{0\}$ , then  $A = 0$  or  $B = 0$ . It is easy to show that prime  $*$ -algebras satisfy (a) and (b), and the following corollary is immediate.

**Corollary 1** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a prime  $*$ -algebra with unit  $I$  and a nontrivial projection. Then  $\phi$  is a nonlinear  $A^*B + B^*A$  type derivation on  $\mathcal{A}$  if and only if  $\phi$  is an additive  $*$ -derivation.

Recall that a von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is weakly closed, self-adjoint algebra of operators on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  con-

taining the identity operator  $I$ . By Ref.[3], if a von Neumann algebra has no central summands of type  $I_1$ , then  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfies (a) and (b). So the following corollary is obvious.

**Corollary 2** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a von Neumann algebra with no central summands of type  $I_1$ . Then  $\phi$  is a nonlinear  $A^*B + B^*A$  type derivation on  $\mathcal{A}$  if and only if  $\phi$  is an additive  $*$ -derivation.

$\mathcal{A}$  is a factor von Neumann algebra means that its center only contains the scalar operators. Clearly,  $\mathcal{A}$  is prime. So the following corollary is obvious from Corollary 1.

**Corollary 3** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a factor von Neumann algebra. Then  $\phi$  is a nonlinear  $A^*B + B^*A$  type derivation on  $\mathcal{A}$  if and only if  $\phi$  is an additive  $*$ -derivation.

$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is the algebra of all bounded linear operators on a complex Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ .  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is all bounded finite rank operators.  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is said to a standard operator algebra if it contains  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$ . When  $\mathcal{A}$  is a standard operator algebra, a more concrete form is achieved.

**Corollary 4** Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be an infinite dimensional complex Hilbert space and  $\mathcal{A}$  be a standard operator algebra on  $\mathcal{H}$  containing the identity operator  $I$ . Assume that  $\mathcal{A}$  is closed under the adjoint operation. Let  $\phi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  be a nonlinear  $A^*B + B^*A$  type derivation. Then there exists  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  satisfying  $T + T^* = 0$  such that  $\phi(A) = AT - TA$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ .

**Proof** Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is prime, from Corollary 1, we obtain  $\phi$  is an additive  $*$ -derivation. According to the result of Ref.[4],  $\phi$  is linear, and then it is inner. Thus there exists  $S \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $\phi(A) = AS - SA$ . Hence  $A^*S - SA^* = \phi(A^*) = \phi(A)^* = S^*A^* - A^*S^*$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . This indicates that  $S + S^* = \lambda I$  for certain  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . Take  $T = S - \frac{1}{2}\lambda I$ , then  $T + T^* = 0$  and  $\phi(A) = AT - TA$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ .

## References

- [1] Darvish V, Nouri M, Razeghi M. Nonlinear triple product  $A^*B + B^*A$  for derivations on  $*$ -algebras[J]. *Mathematical Notes*, 2020, **108**(2): 179-187.
- [2] Li C J, Zhao Y Y, Zhao F F. Nonlinear  $*$ -Jordan-type derivations on  $*$ -algebras[J]. *Rochy Mountain Journal of Mathematics*, 2021, **51**(2): 601-612.
- [3] Li C J, Lu F Y, Fang X C. Nonlinear  $\zeta$ -Jordan  $*$ -derivations on von Neumann algebras[J]. *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, 2014, **62**(4): 466-473.
- [4] Šemrl P. Additive derivations of some operator algebras[J]. *Illinois Journal of Mathematics*, 1991, **35**(2): 234-240.
- [5] Kong L, Zhang J H. Nonlinear bi-skew Lie derivations on factor von Neumann algebras[J]. *Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society*, 2021, **47**(4): 1097-1106.
- [6] Zhang F J. Nonlinear skew Jordan derivable maps on factor von Neumann algebras[J]. *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, 2016, **64**(10): 2090-2103.
- [7] Zhang F J, Zhang J H, Chen L, et al. Nonlinear Lie derivations on factor von Neumann algebras[J]. *Acta Mathematica Sinica, Chinese Series*, 2011, **54**(5): 791-802.
- [8] Zhang F J. Nonlinear preserving product  $XY - \zeta YX^*$  on prime  $*$ -ring[J]. *Acta Mathematica Sinica, Chinese Series*, 2014, **57**(4): 775-784.
- [9] Zhang F J, Zhu X H. Nonlinear  $\zeta$ -Jordan  $*$ -triple derivable mappings on factor von Neumann algebras[J]. *Acta Mathematica Scientia*, 2021, **41A**(4): 978-988.
- [10] Zhang F J, Qi X F, Zhang J H. Nonlinear  $*$ -Lie higher derivations on factor von Neumann algebras[J]. *Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society*, 2016, **42**(3): 659-678.
- [11] Zhang F J. Nonlinear  $\zeta$ -Jordan triple  $*$ -derivation on prime  $*$ -algebras[J]. *Rochy Mountain Journal of Mathematics*, 2022, **52**(1): 323-333.
- [12] Zhang F J, Zhu X H. Nonlinear maps preserving the mixed triple products between factors[J]. *Journal of Mathematical Research with Applications*, 2022, **42**(3): 297-306.
- [13] Zhang F J. Nonlinear maps preserving the second mixed Lie triple  $\eta$ -products between factors[J]. *Advances in Mathematics*, 2022, **51**(3): 551-560.
- [14] Zhang F J, Zhu X H. Nonlinear  $\zeta$ - $*$ -Jordan-type derivations on  $*$ -algebras[J]. *Acta Mathematica Scientia*, 2022, **42A**(4): 1003-1017.

□