



Article ID 1007-1202(2024)01-0021-08 DOI <https://doi.org/10.1051/wujns/2024291021>

Cite this article: XU Chenghao, WANG Kaiyong, PENG Jiangyan. Uniform Asymptotics for Finite-Time Ruin Probabilities of Risk Models with Non-Stationary Arrivals and Strongly Subexponential Claim Sizes[J]. *Wuhan Univ J of Nat Sci*, 2024, 29(1): 21-28.

Uniform Asymptotics for Finite-Time Ruin Probabilities of Risk Models with Non-Stationary Arrivals and Strongly Subexponential Claim Sizes

□ XU Chenghao¹, WANG Kaiyong^{1†}, PENG Jiangyan²

1. School of Mathematical Sciences, Suzhou University of Science and Technology, Suzhou 215009, Jiangsu, China;

2. School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu 611731, Sichuan, China

© Wuhan University 2024

Abstract: This paper considers the one- and two-dimensional risk models with a non-stationary claim-number process. Under the assumption that the claim-number process satisfies the large deviations principle, the uniform asymptotics for the finite-time ruin probability of a one-dimensional risk model are obtained for the strongly subexponential claim sizes. Further, as an application of the result of one-dimensional risk model, we derive the uniform asymptotics for a kind of finite-time ruin probability in a two dimensional risk model sharing a common claim-number process which satisfies the large deviations principle.

Key words: one-dimensional risk model; two-dimensional risk model; large deviations principle; finite-time ruin probability; heavy-tailed distributions

CLC number: O211

0 Introduction

In this section we consider a one-dimensional risk model, in which the surplus at time $t \geq 0$ is described as

$$U(t) = x + ct - \sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i \quad (1)$$

where $x \geq 0$ is the initial surplus, $c > 0$ is the constant premium rate and the claim size $\{X_i, i \geq 1\}$ are independent, identically distributed (i. i. d.) and nonnegative random

variables with common distribution F and finite mean. $\{\tau_i, i \geq 1\}$ are the claim-arrival times, which constitute the claim-number process

$$N(t) = \sup \{i \geq 0: \tau_i \leq t\}, t \geq 0$$

with a finite mean function $\lambda(t) = E(N(t))$, $t \geq 0$, where $\sup \emptyset = 0$ and $\tau_0 = 0$ by convention. The nonnegative random variables $\{\theta_i = \tau_i - \tau_{i-1}, i \geq 1\}$ are the claim inter-arrival times, which are independent of $\{X_i, i \geq 1\}$. For the risk model (1), the finite-time ruin probability up to

Received date: 2023-04-22

Foundation item: Supported by the 333 High Level Talent Training Project of Jiangsu Province, the National Natural Science Foundation of China (71871046) and Science and Technology Projects of Sichuan Province (2021YFQ0007)

Biography: XU Chenghao, male, Master candidate, research direction: actuarial science. E-mail: 534759246@qq.com

† Corresponding author. E-mail: beewky@vip.163.com

time $t \geq 0$ is defined as

$$\psi(x, t) = P\left(\inf_{0 \leq s \leq t} U(s) < 0 \mid U(0) = x\right) \quad (2)$$

The risk model (1) has been widely studied and results under various conditions are presented. For the uniform asymptotics of the finite-time ruin probability $\psi(x, t)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, when $\{\theta_i, i \geq 1\}$ are i.i.d., Tang^[1] investigated the case that the claim sizes have consistently-varying-tailed distributions and obtained the asymptotics of $\psi(x, t)$ holds uniformly for $t \in \Lambda = \{t \geq 0: \lambda(t) > 0\}$. In the case where the distributions of the claim sizes are from a subclass of subexponential distribution class, Leipus and Šiaulyš^[2] presented the asymptotics of $\psi(x, t)$ holds uniformly for $t \in [f(x), \gamma x]$, where $f(x)$ is an infinitely increasing function and $\gamma > 0$ is a constant. Leipus and Šiaulyš^[3] and Kočetova *et al*^[4] considered the claim sizes have strong subexponential distributions and showed the asymptotics of $\psi(x, t)$ holds uniformly for $t \in [f(x), \infty)$. Yang *et al*^[5] and Wang *et al*^[6] improved the above results by considering the dependent $\{\theta_i, i \geq 1\}$. Chen *et al*^[7] established a two-dimensional risk model for (1) and obtained some corresponding results for i.i.d. $\{\theta_i, i \geq 1\}$. Chen *et al*^[8] extended the results of Chen *et al*^[7] by considering the dependent $\{\theta_i, i \geq 1\}$.

In the above literatures, they mainly considered the claim inter-arrival times $\{\theta_i, i \geq 1\}$ are i.i.d or have some dependence structures. Few articles have studied the claim-number process is non-stationary. In fact, a non-stationary claim-number process may be more practical. Stabile and Torrisi^[9] derived the infinite and finite time ruin probabilities for the risk model with a non-stationary Hawkes process and light-tailed claim sizes. Recently, Refs.[10,11] considered the claim-number processes may not be stationary and ergodic and satisfy the large deviations principle (LDP for short). A family of probability measures $\{\mu_t\}_{t \in (0, \infty)}$ on a Hausdorff topological space (M, \mathcal{F}_M) satisfies the LDP with rate function $I: M \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, if I is a lower semi-continuous function and the following inequalities hold for every Borel set B :

$$\begin{aligned} -\inf_{x \in B^\circ} I(x) &\leq \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mu_t(B) \\ &\leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mu_t(B) \leq -\inf_{x \in \bar{B}} I(x), \end{aligned}$$

where B° and \bar{B} denote the interior and closure of B , respectively, see, e. g., Dembo *et al*^[12] and Bordenave *et al*^[13].

This section still considers the claim-number process $\{N(t), t \geq 0\}$ satisfying the LDP and investigates the

uniform asymptotics of the finite-time ruin probability $\psi(x, t)$ for the risk model (1). Section 1 presents the main results after introducing necessary preliminaries and the proofs of the main results are given. Section 2 studies a two-dimensional risk model and investigates a kind of finite-time ruin probability by using the results of Section 1.

1 Preliminaries and Main Results

Hereafter, all limit relationships hold as $x \rightarrow \infty$ unless stated otherwise. For two positive functions $a(x)$ and $b(x)$, we write $a(x) \leq b(x)$, if $\limsup a(x)/b(x) \leq 1$; write $a(x) \geq b(x)$, if $\liminf a(x)/b(x) \geq 1$ and write $a(x) \sim b(x)$, if $\lim a(x)/b(x) = 1$. For two positive functions $a(x, t)$ and $b(x, t)$, we say that $a(x, t) \leq b(x, t)$ holds uniformly for $t \in \Delta \neq \emptyset$, If

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in \Delta} \frac{a(x, t)}{b(x, t)} \leq 1;$$

say that $a(x, t) \geq b(x, t)$ holds uniformly for $t \in \Delta \neq \emptyset$, if

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{t \in \Delta} \frac{a(x, t)}{b(x, t)} \geq 1;$$

and say that $a(x, t) \sim b(x, t)$ holds uniformly for $t \in \Delta \neq \emptyset$, if $a(x, t) \geq b(x, t)$ and $a(x, t) \leq b(x, t)$ hold uniformly for $t \in \Delta \neq \emptyset$. $\mathbf{1}_A$ is the indicator function of a set A .

In this paper, we will consider the claim sizes have heavy-tailed distributions. Some subclasses of heavy-tailed distribution class will be given. Say that a distribution V on $(-\infty, \infty)$ is heavy-tailed if for any $\lambda > 0$,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\lambda t} V(dt) = \infty.$$

One of the important distribution classes of heavy-tailed distributions is the consistently-varying-tailed distribution class \mathcal{C} . By definition, a distribution V on $(-\infty, \infty)$ belongs to the class \mathcal{C} , denoted by $V \in \mathcal{C}$, if

$$\lim_{y \nearrow 1} \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{V}(xy)}{\bar{V}(x)} = 1,$$

or equivalently,

$$\lim_{y \searrow 1} \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{V}(xy)}{\bar{V}(x)} = 1.$$

A related distribution class is the dominated varying tailed distribution class \mathcal{D} . Say that a distribution V on $(-\infty, \infty)$ belongs to the class \mathcal{D} , denoted by $V \in \mathcal{D}$, if for any fixed $0 < y < 1$,

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{V}(xy)}{\bar{V}(x)} < \infty.$$

A distribution V on $(-\infty, \infty)$ is said to be in the long-

tailed distribution class \mathcal{L} , if for any fixed $y > 0$,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{V}(x+y)}{\bar{V}(x)} = 1.$$

An important subclass of the class \mathcal{L} is the subexponential distribution class \mathcal{S} . By definition, a distribution V on $[0, \infty)$ is said to be subexponential if

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{V^{*2}(x)}{V(x)} = 2,$$

where V^{*2} denotes the 2-fold convolution of V . In the case that a distribution V is on $(-\infty, \infty)$, we say that $V \in \mathcal{S}$ if the distribution $V(x)\mathbf{1}_{\{x \geq 0\}}$ belongs to the class \mathcal{S} . It is well-known that these distribution classes have the following inclusions

$$\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{L},$$

see, e.g., Embrechts *et al*^[14]. Korshunov^[15] introduced another subclass of the subexponential distribution class, which is the strongly subexponential distribution class \mathcal{S}_* . Say that a distribution V on $(-\infty, \infty)$ belongs to the class \mathcal{S}_* , if $\int_0^\infty \bar{V}(y)dy < \infty$ and the distribution V_u defined by

$$\bar{V}_u(x) = \begin{cases} \min\left\{1, \int_x^{x+u} \bar{V}(y)dy\right\}, & x \geq 0, \\ 1, & x < 0, \end{cases}$$

satisfies

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{V_u^{*2}(x)}{V_u(x)} = 2$$

uniformly for $u \in [1, \infty)$. Korshunov^[15] pointed out that the Pareto distribution with parameter exceeding one, the lognormal distribution and the Weibull distribution with suitably chosen parameters belong to the class \mathcal{S}_* and the class \mathcal{S}_* almost coincides with the class of subexponential distributions with finite means. For the distributions with finite means the following relationships hold

$$\mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{S}_* \subset \mathcal{S},$$

see, e.g., Korshunov^[15] and Kaas *et al*^[16].

This paper mainly considers the claim-number process $\{N(t), t \geq 0\}$ satisfying the LDP. We first present the following assumption.

Assumption A 1) $P(N(t)/t \in \cdot)$ satisfies the LDP with rate function $I(\cdot)$ such that $I(x) = 0$ if and only if $x = z$, where z is a positive constant.

2) $I(\cdot)$ is increasing on $[z, \infty)$ and decreasing on $[0, z]$.

As noted in Remark 2.1 of Fu *et al*^[10], the linear Hawkes process defined in Section 1 of Bordenave *et al*^[13] satisfies Assumption A. One can see Lefevre *et al*^[17],

Macci *et al*^[18] and Jiang *et al*^[19] for some other counting processes satisfying the LDP.

The following is the main result of this section.

Theorem 1 Consider the risk model (1). Suppose that Assumption A holds. If $F \in \mathcal{S}$, and $v := c/z - E(X_1) > 0$, then

$$\psi(x, t) \sim \frac{1}{v} \int_x^{x+vt} \bar{F}(y)dy \tag{3}$$

holds uniformly for $t \in [f(x), \infty)$, where $f: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is an infinitely increasing function.

Before giving the proof of Theorem 1, we first present a lemma, which follows from Lemmas 1 and 9 in Korshunov^[15] (see also Lemma 2.2 in Leipus and Šiaulyšis^[3]).

Lemma 1 Let $\{\zeta_i, i \geq 1\}$ be i.i.d. random variables with common distribution V and finite mean $E\zeta_1 < \infty$.

1) If $V \in \mathcal{L}$, then for sufficiently large x ,

$$P\left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \sum_{i=1}^k \zeta_i > x\right) \geq \frac{1 - \varepsilon_1(x)}{|E\zeta_1|} \int_x^{x+n|E\zeta_1|} \bar{V}(u)du$$

holds uniformly for integers $n \geq 1$;

2) If $V \in \mathcal{S}_*$, then for sufficiently large x ,

$$P\left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \sum_{i=1}^k \zeta_i > x\right) \leq \frac{1 + \varepsilon_2(x)}{|E\zeta_1|} \int_x^{x+n|E\zeta_1|} \bar{V}(u)du$$

holds uniformly for integer $n \geq 1$, where $\varepsilon_1(x)$ and $\varepsilon_2(x)$ are some positive vanishing functions as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

In the following we prove Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1 By Assumption A, for any fixed $w_1 < z$ and $w_2 > z$, there exist some constants $\delta_1 > 0$ and $\delta_2 > 0$ such that $I(w_1) - \delta_1 > 0, I(w_2) - \delta_2 > 0$ and for sufficiently large t ,

$$P(N(t)/t \leq w_1) \leq e^{-t(I(w_1) - \delta_1)} \tag{4}$$

and

$$P(N(t)/t \geq w_2) \leq e^{-t(I(w_2) - \delta_2)} \tag{5}$$

where the facts $I(x) > 0$ for $x \neq z$ and $I(\cdot)$ is decreasing on $[0, z]$ and increasing on $[z, \infty)$ have been used.

Note that for all $x \geq 0$ and $t \geq 0$,

$$\psi(x, t) = P\left(\sup_{1 \leq k \leq N(t)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k X_i - c \sum_{i=1}^k \theta_i\right) > x\right).$$

For any infinitely increasing function $f(x)$, we will prove

$$\psi(x, t) \geq \frac{1}{v} \int_x^{x+vt} \bar{F}(y)dy \tag{6}$$

and

$$\psi(x, t) \leq \frac{1}{v} \int_x^{x+vt} \bar{F}(y)dy \tag{7}$$

hold uniformly for $t \in [f(x), \infty)$, respectively.

Firstly, we show the asymptotic upper bound (7).

For any $\varepsilon > 0, x \geq 0$ and $t > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x, t) &= P\left(\sup_{1 \leq k \leq N(t)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k X_i - c \sum_{i=1}^k \theta_i\right) > x, N(t) \leq (1 + \varepsilon)zt\right) \\ &\quad + P\left(\sup_{1 \leq k \leq N(t)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k X_i - c \sum_{i=1}^k \theta_i\right) > x, N(t) > (1 + \varepsilon)zt\right) \\ &=: \psi_1(x, t) + \psi_2(x, t). \end{aligned}$$

For any $\delta \in (0, v/c)$, let

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \sup_{1 \leq k \leq (1 + \varepsilon)zt} \sum_{i=1}^k \left(X_i - c \left(\frac{1}{z} - \delta\right)\right), \\ B &= c \sup_{k \geq 1} \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\left(\frac{1}{z} - \delta\right) - \theta_i\right) \text{ and } B^+ := \max\{B, 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the conditions of the risk model that A and B^+ are independent.

We first estimate $\psi_1(x, t)$. Let $C := E(X_1 - c(1/z - \delta)) = c\delta - v < 0$. Therefore, for all $x \geq 0, y \in (0, x/2]$ and $t > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_1(x, t) &\leq P\left(\sup_{1 \leq k \leq (1 + \varepsilon)zt} \left(X_i - c \left(\frac{1}{z} - \delta\right)\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + c \sup_{k \geq 1} \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\left(\frac{1}{z} - \delta\right) - \theta_i\right) > x\right) \\ &\leq P(A + B^+ > x) \\ &\leq \int_0^{x-y} P(A > x - u) P(B^+ \in du) + P(B^+ > x - y) \\ &=: \psi_{11}(x, t) + \psi_{12}(x, t). \end{aligned}$$

Using the line of the proof of Proposition 2.1 of Leipus and Šiaulyš [3], we know that for all $x \geq 0, y \in (0, x/2]$ and $t > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^{x-y} P(A > x - u) P(B^+ \in du) \\ &\leq \frac{(1 + \alpha(y))}{|C|} \int_0^{x-y} \left(\int_{x-u}^{x-u+vzt(1+\varepsilon)} \bar{F}(v) dv\right) P(B^+ \in du), \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha(\cdot)$ is a positive function satisfying $\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \alpha(y) = 0$.

Let J denote the integral of the right side in the above inequality and G_{B^+} be the distribution of the random variable B^+ . By Fubini's theorem, for all $x \geq 0, y \in (0, x/2]$ and $t > 0$,

$$J = \int_0^{x-y} \left(\int_x^{x+vzt(1+\varepsilon)} \bar{F}(w-u) dw\right) G_{B^+}(du) \leq \int_x^{x+vzt(1+\varepsilon)} \bar{F}^* G_{B^+}(w) dw.$$

Let $w_2 = (1/z - \delta)^{-1}$ in (5). Since $0 < \delta < v/c < 1/z$, it knows that $w_2 > z$. By (5) there exists a constant $\delta_2 > 0$ such that for sufficiently large x ,

$$P(B > x) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \theta_i < k \left(\frac{1}{z} - \delta\right) - \frac{x}{c}\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \sum_{k \geq \frac{xz/c}{1-\delta}} P\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \theta_i < k \left(\frac{1}{z} - \delta\right)\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{k \geq \frac{xz/c}{1-\delta}} P\left(N\left(k \left(\frac{1}{z} - \delta\right)\right) \geq k\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{k \geq \frac{xz/c}{1-\delta}} \exp\left(-k \left(\frac{1}{z} - \delta\right) \left(I\left(\left(\frac{1}{z} - \delta\right)^{-1}\right) - \delta_2\right)\right) \\ &\quad \exp\left(-\frac{x}{c} \left(I\left(\left(\frac{1}{z} - \delta\right)^{-1}\right) - \delta_2\right)\right) \\ &\leq \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{x}{c} \left(I\left(\left(\frac{1}{z} - \delta\right)^{-1}\right) - \delta_2\right)\right)}{1 - \exp\left(-\left(\frac{1}{z} - \delta\right) \left(I\left(\left(\frac{1}{z} - \delta\right)^{-1}\right) - \delta_2\right)\right)} \\ &=: d_1 \exp(-d_2 x) \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where

$$d_1 = \left(1 - \exp\left(-\left(\frac{1}{z} - \delta\right) \left(I\left(\left(\frac{1}{z} - \delta\right)^{-1}\right) - \delta_2\right)\right)\right)^{-1} > 0,$$

and

$$d_2 = c^{-1} \left(I\left(\left(\frac{1}{z} - \delta\right)^{-1}\right) - \delta_2\right) > 0.$$

Thus for $x > 0$,

$$\bar{G}_{B^+}(x) = P(B^+ > x) = P(B > x) \leq d_1 \exp(-d_2 x).$$

Since $F \in \mathcal{S}_* \subset \mathcal{S}$, it holds that $\bar{G}_{B^+}(x) = o(\bar{F}(x))$.

By Corollary 3.18 of Foss *et al* [20],

$$\bar{F}^* G_{B^+}(x) \sim \bar{F}(x).$$

Consequently, there exists a positive function $\beta(x) \rightarrow 0$ such that for sufficiently large x ,

$$\begin{aligned} J &\leq (1 + \beta(x)) \int_x^{x+vzt(1+\varepsilon)} \bar{F}(u) du \\ &= (1 + \beta(x)) \int_x^{x+vzt} \bar{F}(u) du \left(1 + \frac{\int_x^{x+vzt(1+\varepsilon)} \bar{F}(u) du}{\int_x^{x+vzt} \bar{F}(u) du}\right) \\ &\leq (1 + \beta(x))(1 + \varepsilon) \int_x^{x+vzt} \bar{F}(u) du \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

So, for all $t > 0, y \in (0, x/2]$ and sufficiently large x ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^{x-y} P(A > x - u) P(B^+ \in du) \\ &\leq \frac{(1 + \alpha(y))(1 + \beta(x))(1 + \varepsilon)}{|C|} \int_x^{x+vzt} \bar{F}(u) du \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

By (10), it holds for all $t > 0, y \in (0, x/2]$ and sufficiently large x that

$$\psi_{11}(x, t) \leq \frac{(1 + \alpha(y))(1 + \beta(x))(1 + \varepsilon)}{|C|} \int_x^{x+vzt} \bar{F}(u) du,$$

which shows that

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in [f(x), \infty)} \frac{\psi_{11}(x, t)}{\frac{1}{v} \int_x^{x+vt} \bar{F}(u) du} \leq 1 \quad (11)$$

In the following, we deal with $\psi_{12}(x, t)$. Using (8), for sufficiently large x , we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(B^+ > x - y) &\leq \sum_{k \geq \frac{(x-y)z}{c(1-z)}} \exp\left(-k\left(\frac{1}{z} - \delta\right)\left(I\left(\left(\frac{1}{z} - \delta\right)^{-1}\right) - \delta_2\right)\right) \\ &\leq d_1 \exp(-d_2(x-y)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $t \geq f(x)$ and $f(x) \uparrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, for sufficiently large x , it holds that $t \geq 1/vz$. Therefore, by $F \in \mathcal{S}_* \subset \mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{L}$, for all $t \geq f(x)$ and $y \in (0, x/2]$, it holds that

$$\frac{P(B^+ > x - y)}{\int_x^{x+vt} \bar{F}(u) du} \leq \frac{P(B^+ > \frac{x}{2})}{\int_x^{x+1} \bar{F}(u) du} \leq \frac{d_1 e^{-d_2 x/2}}{\bar{F}(x+1)} \rightarrow 0 \quad (12)$$

Combining with (12) yields that

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in [f(x), \infty)} \frac{\psi_{12}(x, t)}{\frac{1}{v} \int_x^{x+vt} \bar{F}(y) dy} = 0 \quad (13)$$

By (11) and (13), we get

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in [f(x), \infty)} \frac{\psi_1(x, t)}{\frac{1}{v} \int_x^{x+vt} \bar{F}(u) du} \leq 1 \quad (14)$$

Next, we will estimate the asymptotic upper bound of $\psi_2(x, t)$. We first deal with the process $\{N(t), t \geq 0\}$. By (5), for $\tilde{w}_2 = (1+\varepsilon)z > z$, there exists $\tilde{\delta}_2 > 0$ such that $I((1+\varepsilon)z) - \tilde{\delta}_2 > 0$ and for all $t \in [f(x), \infty)$, $\gamma > 0$ and sufficiently large x ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n > (1+\varepsilon)zt} (1+\gamma)^n P(N(t) \geq n) &\leq \sum_{n > (1+\varepsilon)zt} (1+\gamma)^n P\left(N\left(\frac{n}{(1+\varepsilon)z}\right) \geq n\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{n > (1+\varepsilon)zt} (1+\gamma)^n \exp\left(-\frac{n}{(1+\varepsilon)z} (I((1+\varepsilon)z) - \tilde{\delta}_2)\right) \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

It holds for all $x \geq 0$ and $t \geq 0$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_2(x, t) &\leq \sum_{n > (1+\varepsilon)zt} P\left(\sup_{1 \leq k \leq n} \sum_{i=1}^k X_i > x, N(t) = n\right) \\ &= \sum_{n > (1+\varepsilon)zt} \bar{F}^{*n}(x) P(N(t) = n) \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Since $F \in \mathcal{S}_* \subset \mathcal{S}$, by Kesten's bound, for $0 < \gamma < \exp\left(\frac{I((1+\varepsilon)z) - \tilde{\delta}_2}{(1+\varepsilon)z}\right) - 1$, there exists $l = l(\gamma) > 0$ such

$$\begin{aligned} \text{that for any } x \geq 0 \text{ and } n \geq 1, \\ \bar{F}^{*n}(x) \leq l(1+\gamma)^n \bar{F}(x) \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Therefore, by $F \in \mathcal{S}_* \subset \mathcal{L}$ and (15)-(17),

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in [f(x), \infty)} \frac{\psi_2(x, t)}{\int_x^{x+vt} \bar{F}(u) du} &\leq \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in [f(x), \infty)} \frac{\bar{F}(x)}{\int_x^{x+vt} \bar{F}(u) du} \\ &\cdot \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in [f(x), \infty)} l \sum_{n > (1+\varepsilon)zt} (1+\gamma)^n \exp\left(-\frac{n}{(1+\varepsilon)z} (I((1+\varepsilon)z) - \tilde{\delta}_2)\right) \\ &\leq \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{F}(x)}{\bar{F}(x+1)} \\ &\cdot \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in [f(x), \infty)} l \sum_{n > (1+\varepsilon)zt} (1+\gamma)^n \exp\left(-\frac{n}{(1+\varepsilon)z} (I((1+\varepsilon)z) - \tilde{\delta}_2)\right) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Thus, by (14) and (18),

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in [f(x), \infty)} \frac{\psi(x, t)}{\frac{1}{v} \int_x^{x+vt} \bar{F}(u) du} \leq 1.$$

This completes the proof of (7).

Next we prove the asymptotic lower bound (6). For

any $\varepsilon > 0$, by (4), for $w_1 = \frac{z}{1+z\varepsilon} < z$, there exists $\delta_1 > 0$

such that $I\left(\frac{z}{1+z\varepsilon}\right) - \delta_1 > 0$ and for sufficiently large M ,

$$\begin{aligned} &P\left(c \sup_{k \geq 1} \sum_{i=1}^k (\theta_i - (1/z + \varepsilon)) \geq M\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \theta_i \geq \frac{M + kc(1/z + \varepsilon)}{c}\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P\left(N\left(\frac{M + kc(1/z + \varepsilon)}{c}\right) \leq k\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P\left(N\left(\frac{M + kc(1/z + \varepsilon)}{c}\right) \leq \frac{M + kc(1/z + \varepsilon)}{c} \cdot \frac{z}{1+z\varepsilon}\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{M + kc(1/z + \varepsilon)}{c} (I(z/(1+z\varepsilon)) - \delta_1)\right) \\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{M}{c} (I(z/(1+z\varepsilon)) - \delta_1)\right) \\ &\cdot \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \exp\left(-k(1/z + \varepsilon) (I(z/(1+z\varepsilon)) - \delta_1)\right) \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } M \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Thus, by (19), for any $0 < \varepsilon < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{M \rightarrow \infty} P\left(c \inf_{k \geq 1} \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\frac{1}{z} + \varepsilon - \theta_i\right) > -M\right) \\ = \liminf_{M \rightarrow \infty} P\left(c \sup_{k \geq 1} \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\theta_i - \left(\frac{1}{z} + \varepsilon\right)\right) < M\right) = 1 \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

For the above $\varepsilon > 0$, let $\tilde{v} := c(1/z + \varepsilon) - EX_1$, then $\tilde{v} > 0$ and $\tilde{v} \rightarrow v$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Thus, for the above $\varepsilon > 0$ and $M > 0$, and for all $t \in [f(x), \infty)$, by Lemma 1,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x, t) \\ \geq P\left(\sup_{1 \leq k \leq N(t)} \sum_{i=1}^k \left(X_i - c\left(\frac{1}{z} + \varepsilon\right)\right) + c \inf_{k \geq 1} \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\frac{1}{z} + \varepsilon - \theta_i\right) > x\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\geq P\left(\sup_{1 \leq k \leq N(t)} \sum_{i=1}^k \left(X_i - c\left(\frac{1}{z} + \varepsilon\right)\right) > x + M, \right. \\
 &\quad \left. c \inf_{k \geq 1} \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\frac{1}{z} + \varepsilon - \theta_i\right) > -M\right) \\
 &\geq \sum_{n \geq (1-\varepsilon)zt} P\left(\sup_{1 \leq k \leq n} \sum_{i=1}^k \left(X_i - c\left(\frac{1}{z} + \varepsilon\right)\right) > x + M\right) \\
 &\quad \times P\left(c \inf_{k \geq 1} \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\frac{1}{z} + \varepsilon - \theta_i\right) > -M, N(t) = n\right) \\
 &\geq \sum_{n \geq (1-\varepsilon)zt} \frac{1}{\tilde{v}} \inf_{s > x} \frac{\bar{F}\left(s + M + c\left(\frac{1}{z} + 1\right)\right)}{\bar{F}(s)} \int_x^{x + \tilde{v}(1-\varepsilon)zt} \bar{F}(y) dy \\
 &\quad \times P\left(c \inf_{k \geq 1} \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\frac{1}{z} + \varepsilon - \theta_i\right) > -M, N(t) = n\right) \\
 &\geq (1-\varepsilon) P\left(c \inf_{k \geq 1} \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\frac{1}{z} + \varepsilon - \theta_i\right) > -M, N(t) \geq (1-\varepsilon)zt\right) \\
 &\quad \times \frac{1}{\tilde{v}} \int_x^{x + \tilde{v}zt} \bar{F}(y) dy \tag{21}
 \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step, we have used $F \in \mathcal{S}_* \subset \mathcal{L}$ and the inequality

$$\int_a^c g(x) dx \leq \frac{c-a}{b-a} \int_a^b g(x) dx,$$

where $a \leq b \leq c$ are some constants and $g(x)$ is a non-increasing function on $[a, c]$.

By (4), $\tilde{w}_1 = (1-\varepsilon)z < z$, there exists $\tilde{\delta}_1 > 0$ such that $I((1-\varepsilon)z) - \tilde{\delta}_1 > 0$ and for sufficiently large t ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(N(t) < (1-\varepsilon)zt) &\leq \exp\left(-t\left(I((1-\varepsilon)z) - \tilde{\delta}_1\right)\right) \\
 &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty \tag{22}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 &P\left(c \inf_{k \geq 1} \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\frac{1}{z} + \varepsilon - \theta_i\right) > -M, N(t) \geq (1-\varepsilon)zt\right) \\
 &\geq P\left(c \inf_{k \geq 1} \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\frac{1}{z} + \varepsilon - \theta_i\right) > -M\right) - P(N(t) < (1-\varepsilon)zt),
 \end{aligned}$$

which combining with (20) and (22) yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\liminf_{M \rightarrow \infty} \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{t \in [f(x), \infty)} P\left(c \inf_{k \geq 1} \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\frac{1}{z} + \varepsilon - \theta_i\right) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. > -M, N(t) \geq (1-\varepsilon)zt\right) = 1 \tag{23}
 \end{aligned}$$

By (21) and (23), letting $M \rightarrow \infty$ and $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, it holds that

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{t \in [f(x), \infty)} \frac{\psi(x, t)}{\frac{1}{\tilde{v}} \int_x^{x + \tilde{v}zt} \bar{F}(y) dy} \geq 1.$$

This completes the proof of (6).

2 Two-Dimensional Risk Model

In this section, we will apply Theorem 1 to deal with a two-dimensional risk model and derive the asymptotics of the finite-time ruin probability of a two-dimensional risk model.

2.1 Risk Model

In recent years, more and more scholars begin to study different two-dimensional risk models. In this section, we consider the following two-dimensional risk model in which the surplus at time $t \geq 0$ is described as

$$\begin{pmatrix} U_1(t) \\ U_2(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} c_1 t \\ c_2 t \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_{1i} \\ \sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_{2i} \end{pmatrix} \tag{24}$$

where $\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2)^T$ is the initial surplus vectors; $\vec{c} = (c_1, c_2)^T$ is the vector of constant premium rates; the claim size vectors $\{(X_{1i}, X_{2i}), i \geq 1\}$ are i. i. d. copies of (X_1, X_2) with nonnegative independent component and marginal distributions $F_i, i = 1, 2$, respectively; $\{\tau_i, i \geq 1\}$ are the claim-arrival times, which constitute the claim-number process $\{N(t), t \geq 0\}$.

The claim inter-arrival times $\{\theta_i = \tau_i - \tau_{i-1}, i \geq 2, \theta_1 = \tau_1\}$ are independent of $\{(X_{1i}, X_{2i}), i \geq 1\}$. For the risk model (24), some kinds of finite-time ruin probabilities up to time $t \geq 0$ are defined as

$$\psi_{\max}(\vec{x}, t) = P(t_{\max} \leq t | U_i(0) = x_i, i = 1, 2),$$

where $t_{\max} = \inf\{s \geq 0: \max\{U_1(s), U_2(s)\} < 0\}$ and

$$\psi_{\text{sum}}(\vec{x}, t) = P(t_{\text{sum}} \leq t | U_i(0) = x_i, i = 1, 2) \tag{25}$$

where $t_{\text{sum}} = \inf\{s \geq 0: U_1(s) + U_2(s) < 0\}$.

In some earlier works on the asymptotics of finite-time ruin probabilities, an important assumption is that the two kinds of businesses share a common claim-number process and the inter-arrival times are independent or have some dependence structure, see, e.g., Li *et al*^[21], Chen *et al*^[7], Chen *et al*^[8], Lu *et al*^[22] and so on. Recently many researchers have paid more attention to some generalizations of risk model (24), such as a risk model with a constant force of interest or stochastic return, see, e.g., Konstantinides *et al*^[23], Li *et al*^[24], Li^[25], Yang *et al*^[26], Cheng and Yu^[27], Cheng *et al*^[28], Yang *et al*^[29] and so on.

Recently, Fu and Li^[10] considered the risk model (24) sharing a common claim-number process satisfying the LDP (i.e. Assumption A). They obtained the uniform

asymptotics of the finite-time ruin probability $\psi_{\max}(\vec{x}, t)$ for the claim sizes belonging to the class \mathcal{L} . In the following we still consider the risk model (24) with a claim-number process $\{N(t), t \geq 0\}$, which satisfies the LDP and investigate the uniform asymptotics of the finite-time ruin probability $\psi_{\text{sum}}(\vec{x}, t)$ for the strongly subexponential claim sizes by using Theorem 1.

For the risk model (24), we assume that $\{X_{1i}, i \geq 1\}$, $\{X_{2i}, i \geq 1\}$ and $\{N(t), t \geq 0\}$ are independent. The following is the main result of this section.

Theorem 2 Consider the two-dimensional risk model (24). Suppose that Assumption A holds. If

$$F_i \in \mathcal{S}_*, i = 1, 2, \bar{F}_1(x) = O(\bar{F}_2(x)) \left(\text{or } \bar{F}_2(x) = O(\bar{F}_1(x)) \right)$$

and

$$v := (c_1 + c_2)/z - E(X_1 + X_2) > 0$$

then

$$\psi_{\text{sum}}(\vec{x}, t) \sim \frac{1}{v} \int_{x_1+x_2}^{x_1+x_2+vtz} (\bar{F}_1(y) + \bar{F}_2(y)) dy \quad (26)$$

holds uniformly for $t \in [f(x_1+x_2), \infty)$ as $x_1+x_2 \rightarrow \infty$, where $f: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is an infinitely increasing function.

The proof of the main result will be given in the following subsection.

2.2 Proof of Theorem 2

The following lemma is crucial to prove Theorem 2.

Lemma 2 Let ξ and η be nonnegative random variables with distributions V and W , respectively. If $V, W \in \mathcal{S}_*$ and $\bar{V}(x) = O(\bar{W}(x))$, then $V^*W \in \mathcal{S}_*$ and

$$\overline{V^*W}(x) \sim \bar{V}(x) + \bar{W}(x) \quad (27)$$

Proof Since $\mathcal{S}_* \subset \mathcal{S}$, by Corollary 3.16 of Foss *et al* [20] we know that (27) holds. Hence, by (27) for sufficiently large x ,

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{(V^*W)}_u(x) &= \int_x^{x+u} \overline{V^*W}(y) dy \sim \int_x^{x+u} \bar{V}(y) dy + \int_x^{x+u} \bar{W}(y) dy \\ &= \bar{V}_u(x) + \bar{W}_u(x) \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

holds uniformly for $u \in [1, \infty)$.

According to the definition of \mathcal{S}_* , we get that $V_u \in \mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{L}$ and $W_u \in \mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{L}$. So $\bar{V}_u + \bar{W}_u$ is long-tailed. It follows from $\bar{V}(x) = O(\bar{W}(x))$ that $\bar{V}_u(x) = O(\bar{W}_u(x))$. Again using Corollary 3.16 of Foss *et al* [20], by (28) we have $V_u^*W_u \in \mathcal{S}$ and

$$\overline{V_u^*W_u}(x) \sim \bar{V}_u(x) + \bar{W}_u(x) = \overline{(V^*W)}_u(x).$$

Thus, $(V^*W)_u \in \mathcal{S}$ by Corollary 3.13 of Foss *et al* [20], which means $V^*W \in \mathcal{S}_*$.

Proof of Theorem 2 Note that

$$\psi_{\text{sum}}(\vec{x}, t) = P \left(\sup_{1 \leq k \leq N(t)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k (X_{1i} + X_{2i}) - (c_1 + c_2) \sum_{i=1}^k \theta_i \right) > x_1 + x_2 \right)$$

Since $F_i \in \mathcal{S}_*, i = 1, 2$ and $\bar{F}_1(y) = O(\bar{F}_2(y))$, by Lemma 2 we get $F_1^*F_2 \in \mathcal{S}_*$ and

$$\overline{F_1^*F_2}(x) \sim \bar{F}_1(x) + \bar{F}_2(x) \quad (29)$$

Thus, by Theorem 1 and (29) for sufficiently large x_1+x_2 , it holds uniformly for $t \in [f(x_1+x_2), \infty)$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{\text{sum}}(\vec{x}, t) &\sim \frac{1}{v} \int_{x_1+x_2}^{x_1+x_2+vtz} \overline{F_1^*F_2}(y) dy \\ &\sim \frac{1}{v} \int_{x_1+x_2}^{x_1+x_2+vtz} (\bar{F}_1(y) + \bar{F}_2(y)) dy. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

3 Conclusion

We consider the risk models with a non-stationary claim-number process and obtain the uniform asymptotics for the finite-time ruin probability of a one-dimensional risk model for the strongly subexponential claim sizes when the claim-number process satisfies the large deviations principle. Further, applying Theorem 1 the uniform asymptotics for a kind of finite-time ruin probability in a two-dimensional risk model have been presented.

References

- [1] Tang Q H. Asymptotics for the finite time ruin probability in the renewal model with consistent variation[J]. *Stochastic Models*, 2004, **20**(3): 281-297.
- [2] Leipus R, Šiaulyys J. Asymptotic behaviour of the finite-time ruin probability under subexponential claim sizes[J]. *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics*, 2007, **40**(3): 498-508.
- [3] Leipus R, Šiaulyys J. Asymptotic behaviour of the finite-time ruin probability in renewal risk models[J]. *Applied Stochastic Models in Business and Industry*, 2009, **25**(3): 309-321.
- [4] Kočetova J, Leipus R, Šiaulyys J. A property of the renewal counting process with application to the finite-time ruin probability[J]. *Lithuanian Mathematical Journal*, 2009, **49**(1): 55-61.
- [5] Yang Y, Leipus R, Šiaulyys J, *et al*. Uniform estimates for the finite-time ruin probability in the dependent renewal risk model[J]. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 2011, **383**(1): 215-225.
- [6] Wang Y B, Cui Z L, Wang K Y, *et al*. Uniform asymptotics of the finite-time ruin probability for all times[J]. *Journal of*

- Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 2012, **390**(1): 208-223.
- [7] Chen Y Q, Yuen K C, Ng K W. Asymptotics for the ruin probabilities of a two-dimensional renewal risk model with heavy-tailed claims[J]. *Applied Stochastic Models in Business and Industry*, 2011, **27**(3): 290-300.
- [8] Chen Y, Wang Y B, Wang K Y. Asymptotic results for ruin probability of a two-dimensional renewal risk model[J]. *Stochastic Analysis and Applications*, 2013, **31**(1): 80-91.
- [9] Stabile G, Torrisi G L. Risk processes with non-stationary Hawkes claims arrivals[J]. *Methodology and Computing in Applied Probability*, 2010, **12**(3): 415-429.
- [10] Fu K A, Li J E. Asymptotic ruin probabilities for a bidimensional risk model with heavy-tailed claims and non-stationary arrivals[J]. *Communications in Statistics—Theory and Methods*, 2019, **48**(24): 6169-6178.
- [11] Fu K A, Liu Y. Ruin probabilities for a multidimensional risk model with non-stationary arrivals and subexponential claims[J]. *Probability in the Engineering and Informational Sciences*, 2022, **36**(3): 799-811.
- [12] Dembo A, Zeitouni O. *Large Deviations Techniques and Applications*[M]. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1998.
- [13] Bordenave C, Torrisi G L. Large deviations of Poisson cluster processes[J]. *Stochastic Models*, 2007, **23**(4): 593-625.
- [14] Embrechts P, Klüppelberg C, Mikosch T. *Modelling Extremal Events for Insurance and Finance*[M]. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1997.
- [15] Korshunov D A. Large-deviation probabilities for maxima of sums of independent random variables with negative mean and subexponential distribution[J]. *Theory of Probability & Its Applications*, 2002, **46**(2): 355-366.
- [16] Kaas R, Tang Q H. Note on the tail behavior of random walk maxima with heavy tails and negative drift[J]. *North American Actuarial Journal*, 2003, **7**(3): 57-61.
- [17] Lefevere R, Mariani M, Zambotti L. Large deviations for renewal processes[J]. *Stochastic Processes and Their Applications*, 2011, **121**(10): 2243-2271.
- [18] Macci C, Pacchiarotti B. Large deviations for a class of counting processes and some statistical applications[J]. *Statistics & Probability Letters*, 2015, **104**: 36-48.
- [19] Jiang H, Li S M, Wang S C. Deviation inequalities, moderate deviation principles for certain Gaussian functionals, and their applications in parameter estimation[J]. *Stochastic Analysis and Applications*, 2017, **35**(4): 615-632.
- [20] Foss S, Korshunov D, Zachary S. *An Introduction to Heavy-Tailed and Subexponential Distributions*[M]. New York: Springer-Verlag, 2013.
- [21] Li J H, Liu Z M, Tang Q H. On the ruin probabilities of a bidimensional perturbed risk model[J]. *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics*, 2007, **41**(1): 185-195.
- [22] Lu D W, Zhang B. Some asymptotic results of the ruin probabilities in a two-dimensional renewal risk model with some strongly subexponential claims[J]. *Statistics & Probability Letters*, 2016, **114**: 20-29.
- [23] Konstantinides D G, Li J Z. Asymptotic ruin probabilities for a multidimensional renewal risk model with multivariate regularly varying claims[J]. *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics*, 2016, **69**: 38-44.
- [24] Li J Z, Yang H Z. Asymptotic ruin probabilities for a bidimensional renewal risk model with constant interest rate and dependent claims[J]. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 2015, **426**(1): 247-266.
- [25] Li J Z. A revisit to asymptotic ruin probabilities for a bidimensional renewal risk model[J]. *Statistics & Probability Letters*, 2018, **140**: 23-32.
- [26] Yang H Z, Li J Z. Asymptotic finite-time ruin probability for a bidimensional renewal risk model with constant interest force and dependent subexponential claims[J]. *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics*, 2014, **58**: 185-192.
- [27] Cheng D Y, Yu C J. Uniform asymptotics for the ruin probabilities in a bidimensional renewal risk model with strongly subexponential claims[J]. *Stochastics*, 2019, **91**(5): 643-656.
- [28] Cheng D Y, Yang Y, Wang X Z. Asymptotic finite-time ruin probabilities in a dependent bidimensional renewal risk model with subexponential claims[J]. *Japan Journal of Industrial and Applied Mathematics*, 2020, **37**(3): 657-675.
- [29] Yang Y, Wang K Y, Liu J J, et al. Asymptotics for a bidimensional risk model with two geometric Lévy price processes [J]. *Journal of Industrial & Management Optimization*, 2019, **15**(2): 481-505.

□